ATLAS – At the Energy Frontier

Dave Charlton 17 November 2004

The physics: *why* we are doing it How we are doing it: the Large Hadron Collider ATLAS – being built near you! Putting it all together

The Standard Model

An obligatory slide in a particle physics talk...

Matter particles



Masses and mixings Gauge bosons Ζ **Higgs sector ?H?**

plus antiparticles

The Standard Model

An obligatory slide in a particle physics talk...

Matter particles



Electroweak Gauge Bosons

W,Z discovery in 1982-3 by UA1 experiment at _ CERN





Properties of Z and W studied in depth at LEP in 1989-2000 Millions of Z's Tens of thousands of W's

Electroweak precision physics e.g. $N(v) = 2.9841 \pm 0.0083$

Dave Charlton, 17 November 2004

Electroweak Symmetry-Breaking "EWSB"

The W and Z are massive, and the γ is not

Following LEP, we know electroweak unification happens, and that it describes LEP physics at the level of quantum corrections (per mille level)

What we do *not* know is *how* the mass of the gauge bosons and fermions arises – cannot just add mass terms to the electroweak lagrangian, as it breaks gauge invariance

We believe gauge invariance must be maintained as it ensures renormalisability (perturbative calculability)

This is the N°1 problem in particle physics today

EWSB – the Higgs Mechanism

Higgs proposed a mechanism to add masses without breaking gauge invariance, by postulating an allpervasive scalar field in the vacuum



In the model, the physical vacuum breaks the EW symmetry

Scalar field \rightarrow W/Z masses Also new physical scalar boson(s) – Higgs bosons

There are a wide variety of ways this may be implemented, with different numbers of physical Higgs bosons

EWSB – Standard Model Higgs

In the simplest (Standard Model) form of the Higgs mechanism, *exactly one* physical Higgs boson should be observable

Is this right?

- no direct evidence we have not seen the H
- *indirect* support from precise electroweak data (\rightarrow)
- simplest known model consistent with data

Ockham

004





Dave Charlton, 17 November 2004

The TeV Scale

We expect Higgs(es) to show up with masses no more than hundreds of GeV – dictates TeV scale collisions

More generally, if no explicit EWSB mechanism, problems at TeV scale

Q



For energy > 1 TeV, probability for WW scattering rises above 1 Must probe into this region

Standard Model solution

Vector Boson Self-Interactions



Another Problem

Even if the SM H is the right answer, we expect large quantum corrections to its mass



Corrections are of the order of the energy scale at which the next new physics enters – must "fine-tune" to suppress

If next scale is the Planck scale (10¹⁹ GeV) fine-tuning must be remarkably precise

Known as the *hierarchy problem*

Strong motivation for physics beyond the Standard Model at the TeV scale

Possibilities: supersymmetry, large extra dimensions, ...

The Plan of Attack

We can obtain TeV scale collisions either:

- by colliding leptons with TeV-scale energies
- by colliding hadrons with multi-TeV energies (colliding quarks/gluons carry only a fraction of the hadron energy)

Protons technically "simplest" \rightarrow LHC (p on p)

Leptons \rightarrow proposed International Linear Collider (e⁻ on e⁺)

Cost **is** an issue – each facility is now a world-wide collaboration

The LHC is the world's next particle physics facility E_{cm} =14 TeV – 7 times the energy of the Tevatron

LHC tunnel (50-100m underground)

The LHC

ATLAS site

CERN main site





The LHC Machine

Energy and luminosity both as high as possible

Superconducting magnets – 27 km at LHe temperatures



On track for first collisions in 2007



Experimental Challenges

Total cross-section high (100 mb)

Interesting cross-sections very low (pb)

Consequences

- **Triggering**: must reduce rate to ~200 Hz online
- Radiation: special detector solutions (Si)
- **Computing**: huge data rates and volumes



Key Event Signatures at the LHC

- Products of heavy particle decays: *high energy* jets, photons, leptons
- Charged leptons: e, μ , τ
- Neutrinos $v \rightarrow$ missing momentum





New weakly interacting particles → missing momentum

High granularity and precise tracking are essential

Dave Charlton, 17 November 2004

The ATLAS Detector



Distributed Construction...

ATLAS is being constructed all around the world – a truly global enterprise





Here in Birmingham:

- Tracking: Readout circuitry and data acquisition
- **Triggering**: Hardware and software for calorimeter trigger, builds on major contributions to the design
- Offline software and Grid-enabled computing

The Semi-Conductor Tracker SCT



High precision tracking Dual purpose:

- measure momenta very well – esp. high momentum particles
- reconstruct decay vertices (b, c, τ)

Pixel Detectors

SCT composed of 4088 modules

Silicon strip sensors, $80\mu m$ pitch $\rightarrow 23\mu m$ spatial precision



Hybrid Assembly

DGC, Bruce Gallop, Ivan Hollins, Pedja Jovanovic, Simon Pyatt, Xen Serghi, John Wilson

In Birmingham, we assembled and tested 730 hybrids 15-step production/test procedure, takes ~2 weeks per hybrid, up to 30 in pipeline



Attaching ASICs to hybrid



Ultrasonic wire-bonding

8760 ASICs, 1642500 wire bonds, over 27 months Now completed – hybrids are now on modules Dave Charlton, 17 November 2004

Module assembly to barrels



Installation in ATLAS foreseen in early 2006

Dave Charlton, 17 November 2004

Outer-most SCT barrel at RAL on Friday - before any modules mounted

(2nd of 4)



The Trigger System

Task:

select < 200 of 10⁹ evts/sec

Requires a multi-level system

First level (L1) trigger:

- Beam crosses every 25ns
- Rejection factor ~ 10⁴
- Yes/no in < 2µs

Energy and muon information

In B'ham we work on the energy trigger "L1calo"



Richard Booth, Steve Hillier, Gilles Mahout, Tamsin Moye, Richard Staley, Jürgen Thomas, Dimitrios Typaldos, Pete Watkins, Alan Watson

Calorimeter Trigger – the CPM

Cluster processor module (CPM) – finds energy clusters from **e**, γ and τ

Birmingham responsibility

Complex pipelined design uses multiple FPGAs Time-critical: latency 250ns

Module designed, debugged and tested locally

Bulk production in 2005: 56 CPMs in ATLAS



Trigger Testing and Integration

Major activity also to test trigger operation – individual boards to full system in ATLAS

Full programme running through to 2007 and beyond





October 2004

"Combined test beam" at CERN – full chain: detectors, trigger & datataking – it worked!

The Computing Challenge

Data written from the detector at ~ 300 MB/s = ~ 3 PB per year, plus simulated data

Data processing, simulation, data analysis requires ~ 60000 2GHzequivalent PCs

Dictates distributed CPU and disk resources – **the Grid**

Local work on Grid tests ("data challenges") and enabling ATLAS applications for the Grid

Pete Faulkner, Lawrie Lowe, Alvin Tan, Jürgen Thomas, Pete Watkins, Ethan Woehrling



Physics reach

Higgs search simulated Signal significance • $\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma + \mathbf{WH}, \mathbf{ttH} (\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ ttH (H \rightarrow bb) for various M. $\blacktriangle H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 41$ • $H \rightarrow WW^{(*)} \rightarrow IvIv$ $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow IIvv$ 10² $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l\nu jj$ **Standard Model Total significance** predicts Higgs production/decay precisely for given M. 10 Signature varies with 5σ $M_{\perp} \rightarrow$ broad search ATLAS strategy $\int L dt = 100 \, fb^{-1}$ (no K-factors) 1

 10^{2}

If EWSB is via SM H, ATLAS will find it

10³

т_н (GeV)

An LHC Physics Menu

A sample of the LHC physics programme for the first 3 years

- detector commissioning
- trigger commissioning
- heavy flavour production
- CP violation in B system
- Rare B decays
- B_s oscillations
- B_c physics
- parton density functions
- jet physics: α_s
- diffractive physics
- heavy ion physics
- W and Z production
- Drell-Yan production
- W mass measurement
- Z forward-backward asymmetry
- precision top mass
- top quark decays and couplings
- triple gauge couplings
- quartic gauge couplings
- WW scattering

- Higgs searches
- SUSY searches
 - Supergravity models
 - GMSB models
 - R-parity violating models
- extra dimensions searches
- W', Z' searches
- technicolour searches
- excited fermion searches
- new sequential fermion searches
- compositeness searches
- monopole searches

Most exciting: measuring properties of new physics discoveries – hard to predict! Some examples...

- Higgs mass and width
- Higgs spin-parity
- Higgs self-coupling (hard!)
- SUSY spectrum and parameters

Putting it all together



http://cern.ch/atlaseye-webpub/web-sites/pages/UX15_webcams.htm

One of 8 (SC) barrel toroid coils