Detectors for the LHeC and FCC-eh



Paul Newman
(University of Birmingham)



Baseline Design (Electron "Linac")

LHeC CDR, July 2012 [arXiv:1206.2913]

Design constraint: power consumption < 100 MW → E_e = 60 GeV

- Two 10 GeV linacs,
- 3 returns, 20 MV/m
- Energy recovery in same structures



- LHeC ep lumi → 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}
→ ~100 fb^{-1} per year →~1 ab^{-1} total
- e-nucleon Lumi estimates ~ 10^{31} (3.10^{32}) cm^{-2} s^{-1} for eD (ePb)

- **Similar schemes in collision with protons of 7 TeV (LHeC), 13 TeV (HE-LHeC) and 50 TeV (FCC-eh)**

Physics Targets throughout Kinematic Plane



- Standalone Higgs programme

- Revolutionary proton PDF precision enhances LHC new physics sensitivity

- Elucidates low x dynamics in ep & eA

- 4 orders of mag. in kinematic range of nuclear structure

- No polarised targets

Detector Design: Philosophy

- Detector technologies evolve fast; current designs can only be indicative / based on current knowledge … will change

- Conditions are relatively ‘easy’ …
… fluences <~ 10^5 1 MeV n cm^{-2} equiv (tiny fractions of HL-LHC)
… pile-up ~ 0.1 (cf 200 at HL-LHC)

Fluences



- Current `baseline’ remains 2012 CDR (with ongoing work in several areas)
  → Leans heavily on LHC (esp. ATLAS) technologies
    (but they are over-spec’ed for radiation hardness)
  → Was costed at CHF106M core cost

- Most challenging technology aspects are interaction region (synchrotron) and ER linac



Interaction Region & Magnets



- Dual dipole magnets (0.15 – 0.3 T) throughout detector region (|z| < 14m) bend electrons into head-on collisions





- Eliptical beampipe (6m x 3mm Be) accommodates synchrotron fan

- 3.5 T Superconducting NbTi/Cu solenoid in 4.6K liquid helium cryo.



Re-evaluating → reduce synchrotron?

LHeC Detector Acceptance Requirements

Access to Q^2=1 GeV^2 in ep mode for all x > 5 x 10^{-7} requires scattered electron acceptance to 179°





Similarly, need 1° acceptance in outgoing proton direction to contain hadrons at high x (essential for good kinematic reconstruction)

Acceptance Requirements, Final States





- Elastic J/Ψ Photoproduction





- Higgs Production



Detector Design from the CDR (2012)



- Size 13m x 9m (c.f. CMS 21m x 15m, ATLAS 45m x 25m)
- 1^{o} tracking acceptance in both forward & backward directions
- Forward & backward beam-line instrumentation integrated

Detector for ep at a Future Circular Collider

- Detector scales in size by up to ln(50/7)~ 2





- Double solenoid + Dipole

- Even longer track region to retain 1° performance

Inner Tracking:

an LHeC Design

… and an HE-LHC design





bwd - planar design **fwd - tilted design**

- 1° electron hits 2 tracker planes
- Forward direction allows particle flow with boosted jets
- **Pixels + strips;** Perfect application for HV-CMOS (cf EIC R&D programme)

Tracking Performance





From CDR → Central track $\Delta p_t/p_t^2$ → 6 x 10^{-4} GeV^{-1}
Impact parameter resolution: → 10μm

More recently →
- Studies of HE-LHeC, Including services

- Evaluation of HF tag performance (60% b, 30% c efficiency at 95% light quark rejection) → Extend from 40 → 60cm (H→bb, cc)?



No Material & No Services



BeamPipe (3.5mm) & Active Materia

Barrel EM Calorimeter

- $-2.3 < \eta < 2.8$
- CDR accordion geometry baseline design
- 2.2mm lead + 3.8mm LAr layers
- Total depth ~ 20 X_0

[ATLAS]



- GEANT4 simulation of response to electrons at normal incidence

[cf ATLAS: 10%/√E + 0.35%]





- Extended version (HE-LHeC) with 30 X_0 designed
- Current re-evaluation of entire calorimeter in light of resolutions required for H→WW, bb, Top etc …

Beamline Instrumentation





Luminosity / Photon Tagging

- Use Bethe-Heitler (as HERA), measurement based on photon

- Photons might be detected at z = -120 m after D1 proton bending dipole
- With sufficient apperture through Q1-Q3 magnets, 95% geometrical acceptance



- Signal via Cerenkov from synchrotron absorber coolant? → 1% lumi measurement? → Synchrorton OK?

Low Angle Electron Tagging

- Reinforce luminosity measurement
- Tag γp for measurements and as background to DIS



- Acceptances ~ 20–25% at 3 different locations studied

- 62m is most promising due to available space and synchrotron radiation conditions



Methods for Diffraction

… old slide from diffraction at HERA

Signatures and Selection Methods

Scattered proton in Leading Proton Spectrometers **(LPS)**



Limited by statistics and p-tagging systematics

\`Large Rapidity Gap' **(LRG)** adjacent to outgoing (untagged) proton



Limited by p-diss systematics

Partially still true for LHeC (but proton tagging technology got better and kinematics make rapidity gap methods harder)

Rapidity Gap Selection with LHeC Kinematics





– η_{max} v ξ (= x_{IP}) correlation determined entirely by proton beam energy …
[LHeC proton kinematics same as LHC]

- LHeC cut around η_{max} ~ 3 selects events with x_{IP} <~ 10^{-3} (cf x_{IP} <~ 10^{-2} at HERA), but misses lots of diffractive physics at largest dissociation masses, M_X



LHeC Forward Proton Spectrometer



- Proton spectrometer is a copy of FP420 (proposal for low ξ Roman pots at ATLAS / CMS – currently being revisited)

- Requires access to beam though cold part of LHC

- Acceptances under study with HL-LHC optics







Leading Neutrons

- Crucial in eA, to determine whether nucleus remains intact e.g. to distinguish coherent from incoherent diffraction



- Crucial in ed, to distinguish scattering from proton or neutron



- Possible "straight on" space at z ~ 100m

- For technology, learn from LHC





- CDR 2012

Summary

- Since then 1) Possibility of 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1} → new environment
  2) LHC Higgs discovery → new physics focus
  3) Longer term perspective of HE-LHeC / FCC-eh

- Current ongoing work: optimize w.r.t. precision physics, H, t …
re-evaluation of tracking & calorimetry, interaction region

- Next goal …
  1) Update CDR (physics, technical) → "The LHeC at High Luminosity" converging at workshop in October 2019